





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/647,711	10/04/2000		Stephen L Corley	36-1377	2382
23117	7590	02/03/2004	EXAMINER		NER
NIXON &			KINDRED, ALFORD W		
	1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714				2172	. /
				DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004	, 16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office A. 4' O	09/647,711	CORLEY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alford W. Kindred	2172					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 No	ovember 2003.						
,	action is non-final.	\$					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the correction of the objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/647,711

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Reconsideration, filed on 11/24/03.

--Applicant is advised that there is a missed spelled word in claim 7 (i.e. "on" instead on "one"). Correction is needed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5-8, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McComb et al., US# 6,006,224.

As per claims 1, 5-6, 8 and 12-13, McComb et al. teaches "constructing database queries . . . storing database queries" (see col. 5, lines 22-37) "a search tool for searching the query store against . . ." (see col. 6, lines 18-50) "query submission means for selecting between a constructed query . . ." (see col. 4, lines 7-21) "said query store being separate from said database" (see col. 7, lines 41-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/647,711

Art Unit: 2172

As per claim 2, McComb et al. teaches "user input means . . . a database query . . ." (see col. 3, lines 62-67) "calculate a similarity factor between data fields . . ." (see col. 5, lines 10-37).

As per claim 7, McComb teaches "a user loading data to at least on data field in a database query" (see col. 14, lines 57-67).

As per claims 4 and 11, McComb et al. teaches "collecting management information data for a query submitted . . . structuring the management information . . . loading structured management . . ." (see col. 15, lines 26-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-4 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McComb, US# 6,006,224 et al. in view of Malloy, US# 5,787,234.

As per claims 3 and 9-10, McComb et al. does not explicitly teach "case based reasoning . . . does so to construct a query as a case." Malloy teaches "case based reasoning . . . does so to construct a query as a case" (see col. 2, lines 56-67 and col. 3, lines 1-12). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the teachings of McComb and Molly, because using steps of "case based reasoning . . .", because using the process involving case base

Application/Control Number: 09/647,711

Art Unit: 2172

reasoning would have given those skilled in the art the tools to apply a framework that users can use to produce query solutions, this give users that advantage of solving problems by examining descriptions of similar and previous problems.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2172

Conclusion

Page 5

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US# 5,161,225; US# 5,915,250.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 703-305-3802. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday, 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 703-305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

John W. N.

Patent Examiner
Tech Ctr. 2100

1...